

CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/681,896 06/21/2001		Ignacio Larrain	112843-25	2983	
29157	7590 06/30	005	EXAM	EXAMINER	
BELL, BOY	D & LLOYD LI		DESANTO, N	MATTHEW F	
P. O. BOX 1	135				
CHICAGO II. 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

3763

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~^			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	09/681,896	LARRAIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Matthew F. DeSanto	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	arch 2005.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,7,8 and 14-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,7,8 and 14-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/681,896

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 1. Claims 1, 2, 7, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Werge et al. (USPN 5,535,785).

Werge et al. discloses a valve system with an inlet, and outlet, a flexible membrane, which deforms under pressure, and has a cracking pressure of .10 bar to .20 bar. and wherein the valve includes a piston having a mushroom shaped head. (Figure 1, 1A, 1B, 3, 4 and entire reference)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 7, 8 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Werge et al. applied to the claims above, and further in view of Cordner, Jr. et al. (5244463) and Minshall et al. (5009654).

Werge et al. disclosed the claimed invention with a pump and a fluid being administered to a patient but failed to disclose the specific pump used and the specific fluid that will be passed through the valve.

Since Werge et al. disclosed that any pump and any fluid can be used in accordance with the valve, it would have been obvious to combine the references since it is well known in the art to use a rotary peristaltic pump with a check valve such as shown with Minshall et al. (Column 5, line 13-26) and using a pump with a check valve when delivering nutrition to a patient, such as shown with Cordner, Jr. et al. (Column 1, lines 54-64, and entire reference).

Therefore it would have been obvious to combine Werge et al. with Cordner, Jr. et al. and Minshall et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1, 2, 7, 8, and 14-20.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 3/21/05 have been fully considered but are not persuasive with regards to Werge et al. (USPN 5,535,785).
- 5. The examiner has considered the prior art and the prior art still reads on the claims of the present application because Werge et al. has a valve that consists of a single stem and a mushroom shaped head, see figure 2C. Since the claims also include comprising as the first transition term the other elements of the prior art are deemed acceptable. For example claim 1 has a valve arrangement comprising a valve among other things and the valve consists of a piston member and a single stem, and

Application/Control Number: 09/681,896

0700

Art Unit: 3763

therefore the valve arrangement can comprise of other elements, which is seen in the Werge et al. reference.

6. The 102 Rejection in view of Brignola (USPN 4,244,378) has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F. DeSanto whose telephone number is 571-272-4957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,896

Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763 June 27, 2005

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700